DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HISHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009 INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required in the "Evaluated" box and "Action Required in the "Evaluated in the "Evaluated in the "Evaluated in the "Evalua

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies a re corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

| form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement of | can be handwritten | it desired. | | | |
|---|----------------------|--|--|-------|--|
| TYPE OF EVALUATION | SUSPENSE DATE | | | | |
| FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Informal Evaluation Correction Report | COMMANDER'S REVIE | COMMANDER'S REVIEW | | DATE | |
| | | Taran arangga | CORRECTED | | |
| 1. EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING | EVALUATED | ACTION REQUIRED | CONNECTED | | |
| a. Are Area employees familiar with various departmental public | | | ✓ Yes | ☐ No | |
| b. Does the Area commander have a clear understanding of the as outlined in HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management F Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual? | 🔀 Yes | □No | | | |
| (1) Is this philosophy conveyed to: | | | | | |
| (a) Subordinates. | Will have been | | ∑ Yes | □ No | |
| (b) Public safety agencies. | | | ⊠ Yes | □ No | |
| (c) Emergency service providers. | | | Yes | ☐ No | |
| c. Is an employee assigned to develop and routinely update Elf | M plans? $$ | HO (. (. | ∑ Yes ☐ Yes | □ No | |
| (1) Is the employee familiar with local resources and conditions? | | | | □ No | |
| (2) Is input obtained from uniformed and nonuniformed personnel? | | | | □ No | |
| (3) Is there adequate liaison with emergency response and support agencies? | | | | ☐ No | |
| d. Have emergency incident plans been evaluated? | | | | ☐ No | |
| (1) Do plans include command-specific information? | | | | ☐ No | |
| (2) Do plans contain a clear statement of their purpose and | Yes Yes | ☐ No | | | |
| (a) Is there an assignment of responsibility commensurate with appropriate authority? | | | | No No | |
| (b) Are there checklists to assist in implementing the p | lans? | | Ş Yes | □ No | |
| (c) Is there a method for notifying off-duty personnel? | WHAT | 7 | (X) Yes | ∏ Ņo | |
| (d) What methods are used for acquiring necessary su | ipplies and equipmer | nt? | | | |
| A | | AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER | | | |
| | 70% | A | | | |
| (3) Do the plans refer to ICS and CHP and/or command-sp | ecific forms? | | X Yes | □ No | |
| (4) Do the plans have information regarding communication agencies, Division and headquarters? | n and coordination w | ith other Areas, allied | ☐ Yes | □No | |
| (5) Are there plans for hazard-specific incidents? | | A STATE OF THE STA | Yes Yes | ∏ No | |
| | COLORS COLORS | | 76. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. | | |

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

| CHI | P 453R | (Rev | 6-06) OPI 009 | | |
|-----------|---------|----------------|--|-----------|-------|
| | 7331 | - | Are there employee and property protection references in the command's EAP? | ∀es | □ No |
| ==== | | (b) | ∑ Yes | □ No | |
| | | X 2 Yes | □ No | | |
| | | (d) | Fires. Flood/dam failures. | X Yes | □ No |
| | | (e) | Radiation incidents. | Yes Yes | □ No |
| | 4-1-7 | (f) | Earthquakes. | 🔀 Yes | □ No |
| | | (g) | Tsunamis/coastal storms. | Yes | ☐ No |
| | | (h) | Civil unrest. | Yes | ☐ No |
| | | (i) | Other Area-specific emergencies. | ∀es | □ No |
| | | (j) | Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area. | ₩ Yes | □ No |
| | | (k) | For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, and other emergency procedures is required. | X Yes | ∏ No |
| | (6) | Do | plans have supporting annexes with the following information: | | |
| | | (a) | Emergency Response Center Operations. | Yes | □ No |
| | | (b) | Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area and other emergency service providers. | ⊠' Yes | □ No |
| | | (c) | Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, personnel and material resources. | Yes | □ No |
| | | (d) | 72-hour self-sufficient operation. | Yes Yes | □ No |
| S-2 57 E- | (7) | Doe | s the need for each plan still exists? | ₩ Yes | □ No |
| | | (a) | Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual? | Feb>7 Yes | ☐ No |
| | | (b) | Can plans be tested? | Yes | □ No |
| | | (c) | Are they current? | Yes | ☐ No |
| · | | (d) | Do they work? | Yes | No No |
| | (8) | Doe | s the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM? | ∑ Yes | ☐ No |
| | | (a) | Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual, or local plans? | Yes | □ No |
| 2. 7 | FRAIN | ING | EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED | CORRECTED | |
| a | ı. Isti | here | an awareness of local training requirements? | Yes | □No |
| - | | | quired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and use ICS in Area emergencies? | Yes | □ No |
| | (1) | | e other Area employees received familiarization training in ICS? | Yes | □ No |
| | (2) | Yes | □No | | |
| | (3) | Hav | e managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the use of HPG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide? | Yes | □ No |
| | (4) | ls ŀ | Yes | [] No | |
| | | | PG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available? マットラグラント ファント | | |

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

| СНР | 453R | (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009 | | | rance m | |
|--|--|--|--|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | (5) Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS forms and their use? | | | | Yes | ☐ No |
| | (6) | 6) Does the Area have a roster of employees who have received specialized training or possess special emergency-related skills? | | | Yes | □ No |
| | (7) | Have employees been provided with annual training in hazardous materials required by HPM 70.13, Departmental Training Manual? | | | ∑XYes | □No |
| | | (a) Are the records of required training current? | | | Yes Yes | □ No |
| | . Has interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted? | | | Yes | ☐ No | |
| | (1) | to the department in mostlings of public safety agencies and emergency service | | | | □No |
| | (2) | Have public safety agencies and emergency service providers attended Area training to discuss their role? | | | Yes | □ No |
| | (3) |) Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agencies and EMS providers? | | | | ☐ No |
| | (4) | Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all participants? | | | | □No |
| 3. R | | IONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES | EVALUATED | ACTION REQUIRED | CORRECTED | |
| a. | Doe dep | es the commander maintain a working relationship with person partments, state and county traffic engineers and highway dep | nnel from local sheriff's partment personnel? | offices, police | ⊠ Yes | □ No |
| | (1) | Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and Services personnel? | d regional state Office o | f Emergency | Yes | □\\\ ₀ |
| | (2) | is the commander a member of emergency organizations? | | | √ Yes | ☐ No |
| b. | Doe with | es the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervison their counterparts in allied agencies? | e commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships | | | ☐ No |
| STREET -SE | (1) Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or councils? | | | Yes | ☐ No | |
| | | | ith allied agency personnel to conduct mutual aid contingency planning? | | | ☐ No |
| C. | . Has the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departmental personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents? | | | Yes | □ No | |
| | (1) Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual? (2) Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agencies who have EIM responsibility? | | | Yes | [] No | |
| | | | | | ✓ Yes | □ No |
| | (3) | Are existing plans current? | | Control of Dubble State Control | Yes | No |
| | (4) Do plans provide for adequate supervision? (5) Do plans conform to CHP policy? | | | Yes | □No | |
| | | | | | ₩ Yes | ☐ No |
| | | Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance value Management Planning and Operations Manual? | with HPM 50.1, Emerge Nellis u Mat | ncy Incident | √Yes | ∏-No |
| 4.√R | EPOF | RTING PROCEDURES | EVALUATED | ACTION REQUIRED | CORRECTED | |
| a. Are reporting and documentation requirements for emergency incidents understood by the Area commander, managers, and supervisors? | | | | Yes | □No | |
| | (1) Are unusual occurrences reported per GO 100.80, Report of Unusual Occurrence? | | | Yes | [] No | |
| CHP 453R (Rev. 6-D6) Page 3 Destroy Previous Editions | | | | | c453r606 | |

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

| EMERGENCY INCIDENT | MANAGEMENT PLANNING |
|------------------------------|---------------------|
| CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009 | |
| | |

| | (2) | Are major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Reporting of Highway Conditions? | | | Yes | ∐ No | |
|--------------|---|--|--|-----------|-----------------|----------------|------|
| | (3) Hazardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 84.2, Hazardous Materials Transportation and Incident Management Manual? | | | Ş Yes | ☐ No | | |
| | | (a) Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) prepared? | | | | ∑ X Yes | ☐ No |
| | | (b) Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releases, and dumping of hazardous material to the County Board of Supervisors and the County Health Officer? | | | | ∠ Yes | ☐ No |
| 5. Ęľ | VIERO | | CY INCIDENT RESPONSES | EVALUATED | ACTION REQUIRED | CORRECTED | |
| <u> </u> | List | prol | blems Area experienced in exercising EIM. | | | VIII. | |
| ; | | - | | | | | |
| | (1) | Has follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent recurrences of problems? | | | Yes | ☐ No | |
| 411 | | (a) Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-command? | | | | Yes | □ No |
| | | (b) Are problems corrected and appropriate changes made to Area plans? | | | | Yes | ☐ No |
| | | (c) Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forwarded through the chain-of-command? | | | | Yes | ☐ No |
| | (2) | 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | | | Yes | ☐ No | |
| <u></u> | | (a) | Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to | | ? | Yes | □ No |
| 1 | | (b) | If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as | | | Yes | ☐ No |
| | | | | | 7 | | |